

To:

TOWN OF LISBON

INCORPORATED 1786





Zoning Board	of Appeals
Town of Lisbo	on . ·
тсzва#: <u>20</u>	<u>)-2</u>
Application #	·
On _ 4/20	at <u>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</u>
were received	d by the Lisbon Town Clerk.
1	Property Owner: <u>Robert Roark</u> Applicant's Name: <u>Robert Roark</u>
2	Address: 5 Sylvandale Rd Map/Block/Lot #: $21-019$. Vol/Pg: $174/146$ Payment in the amount of \$ 385 received. Check #: 469 Town application fee: \$ 335 Processed. Receipt #: State fee: \$ 60
3	# of Maps: # Copies:
4	Other: deed desicipation / field and lassessor map
Dated at Lisbo	on, Connecticut, this <u>20</u> day of <u>Aon</u> , <u>7020</u> . Sauru Tuocoli Laurie Tirocchi
	Lisbon Town Clerk

\$385,00 5 Sylvandale Rd EW 234 4442

APPLICATION ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS Town of Lisbon

AP	PEAL NUMBER:	DATE FILED: \\\ \(\lambda \) \(\lambda \)
1.	I/We hereby appeal to the Town of Lisbon Zoning B Commission and/or the Zoning Enforcement Officer	oard of Appeals from the decision of the Planning and Zoning whereby it he granted denied an application to:
	BLAND ONLY STRUCTURE C. FOR USE AS: FAMILY RESIDEN ACCESSORY BUIL	LDING — BUSINESS — INDUSTRY
2.	ASSESSOR'S MAP NUMBER 2\ LOC OF 5 SYLVANDALE THE INTERSECTION OF Owner ROBOLT ROAPKE	ATED ON THE SIDE STREET FEET DISTANT FROM Address Address Address
3.	PREVIOUS APPEALS have been made with respect Application Number(s)	Date(s)
4.	STREET FRONTAGENUM DESCRIBE BRIEFLY	EA X YARD DIMENSIONS HEIGHT BER OF FAMILY UNITS OTHER
8	VARIANCE of the following section of the Zoning to be able to build (REDNICED) ZO FOOT SET A. Strict application of the regulations would produ	Perty Line 5 Sylvandale Regulations is requested: Lock attached to home (BACK) BACK Lice UNDUE HARDSHIP because:
	B. The hardship created is UNIQUE and not share Property Set back Lines	enjoy my back Yard d by all properties alike in the neighborhood because:
	C. The variance would change the CHARACTER	OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD because:
6.	The ISSUANCE OF PERMIT NOAPPEALED because:	for the above named premises by the Building Inspector is
Rev.	ZII P ZUCULE 10/17/06 (APPLICANT/AGENT)	(ZONING ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OR P&Z CHRM.

Lisbon Parcel Viewer

∇ Q



TOWN OF LISBON

(For single and two-family homes and accessory buildings of uses.) Date: 477100

To be filled in by the Applicant:
Application is hereby made for a Zoning Permit for the use described herein and shown in the accompanying plans.
Applicant Robert P ROARK Address: 5 SYlvandale Rd Lisbor, CT
Phone Number: 860 234 444 2
Property Owner: Robert P ROARK Address: SAME
Location of Property: 5 SYlvandale Rd Lisbon, A 21-019-000 Land Records Book: Volume Page Land Records Map: Lot
.i
Lot Size in Sq. Feet ACRe_ Total Building Floor Area in Sq. Feet: Zone:
Existing Use of Land or Building: Residence
Proposed Use of Land or Building: Construct L Shaped deck to back of House
17×16
APPROVAL FROM TOWN SANITARIAN REQUIRED PER SECTION 19-13-B100a CT. DEPT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
(Applications for permitted single-family/two-family dwellings and accessory buildings of expansions or additions of such buildings on residential lots shall complete the total plant on the reverse side of this form.) ******* ATTENTION********
A narmit issued on the basis of this application certifies conformance with the LISBON ZORING
REGULATIONS. Other permits may be required, such as those concerning driveways, wetlands and water and sewer facilities, fire protection, building code and health code. Obtaining the additional permits is the responsibility of the Applicant.
Signature of Applicant: Odd P Coach Date: 04-02-2020
Signature of Owner:Date:
(If different than applicant.) ***********************************
To be filled in by the Zoning Enforcement Officer: FEE COLLECTED BY BUILDING OFFICIAL
UPON ISSUING OF BUILDING PERMIT FEE.
Approved:Disapproved:Date:Disapproved:Date:
Reason for Disapproved: 2 July Set Back APR 2 2 2020 8 .5
T Vepau CLoo
Signature: Work &
Signature: Zoning Enforcement Officer

Return To: Sherry Roark Robert Roark 5 Sylvandale Road Lisbon, CT 06351

1

79

1

WARRANTY DEED - STATUTORY FORM

THAT, TEAM BASSETT, LLC, a Connecticut Limited Liability Company with its office located in the Town of Lisbon, County of New London and State of Connecticut, hereinafter referred to as "Grantors" for consideration paid, grant to SHERRY ROARK and ROBERT ROARK as joint tenants with full rights of survivorship, both of the Town of Myrtle Beach and State of South Carolina, hereinafter referred to as "Grantees" with WARRANTY COVENANTS

A certain tract or parcel of land, with any buildings and improvements thereon, known as 5 Sylvandale Road located in the Town of Lisbon, County of New London and State of Connecticut, bounded and described in **Schedule "A"** attached hereto.

Signed this 15th day of January, 2020.

Witnessed by:

Stuart R. Norman, Jr.

TEAM BASSETT, LLC

BY:GEORGE BASSETT IV, member

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

ss. NEW LONDON

January 15, 2020

L.S.

COUNTY OF NEW LONDON)

Personally appeared GEORGE BASSETT, IV, Member of TEAM BASSETT, LLC, Signer and Sealer of the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged the same to be his free act and deed, before me,

State \$15750 Town \$52500

\$210, and conveyance Tax received

TOWNCLERK OF LISBON

Stuart R. Norman Jr.

Commissioner of the Superior Court

SCHEDULE "A"

A certain tract or parcel of land, with the buildings thereon, situated in the Town of Lisbon, County of New London and State of Connecticut, bounded and described as follows, viz:

Commencing at a stone bound on the westerly side of the old turnpike road leading from Norwich to Providence at the southerly corner of James E. Roberts land; thence by and along said turnpike road 104 feet to an oak tree; thence westerly, abutting on land now or formerly of Edward F. Burleson 39 feet to a stone bound; thence northerly by land now or formerly of Edward F. Burleson 107 feet to a stone bound; thence easterly abutting on land of said James E. Roberts 60 feet to the place of beginning.

RECEIVED FOR RECORD AT LISBON CT ON Jan 16,2020 10:50A ATTEST: LAURIE J. TIROCCHI, TOWN CLERK INST音 ©©©1621©

"1

19 #5 01/1 T 17

OPENING Parcel OPENING OPENI	ID 21/ 019/ 0000/ / Bldg # 1 STRT / ROAD LOCATION D	of 1 Card # 1 of 1 Print Date 4/17/20 CURRENT ASSESSMENT Asses	20 9:04:13 AM
Color Colo	RESENTION TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL DATA RESENTS RES	1-1 52000 35,400 1-3 101210 70,850 1-4 8400 5,880	6073 bon, CT
Color Colo	Census Census Census Census Call Back I&E received TC Map		
ANNICH CREAKEN 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	Gis ID ASSOC PID# BK:VOLPAGE SALE DATE Q/U VI SALE PRICE	Total 161,610 113,130 PREVIOUS ASSESSMENTS (HISTORY)	
The state The	174 146 01-16-2020 U I 210,000 07 Year	Assessed Year Code Assessed Year	\vdash
Code Description Total	172 825 09-03-2019 U I 55,000 07 2019 171 68 02-04-2019 U I 86,951 14 111 769 12-03-2003 U 0 29 102 628 10-15-2002 U 0 29	36,400 2018 1-1 36,400 70,850 1-3 56,080 5,880 1-4 2,350	36,400 56,080 2,350
Code	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		al
NBHD NBHD Name	Code Description Amount Code Description Amount Amount	題	SOC
NBHO NBHO Name ASSESSION OF Parcel Number Batch Apprised Blags Value (Card) NBHO Name NB		APPRAISED VALUE SUMMARY	
Name	—	Appraised Bldg. Value (Card)	101,210
Apprised Land Value (1949) Special Land Value (1940)	ASSESSING/NEIGHBORHOOD Number Street Index Name Parcel Number	Appraised XF (B) Value (Bldg)	0
PER SALES LISTING UPGRADE KIT. PER SALES LISTING UPGRADE MANDAIR PER SALES LISTING UPGRADE MANDAIR	0001	Appraised OB (B) Value (Bidg)	8,400
Total Appriated Parcel Value PER SALES LISTING UPGRADE KIT. BUILDING FERMIT RECORD Location Method Total Appriated Parcel Value Total Card Land Units Total Land Area: 0.1000 Total Land		Appraised Land Value (Bidg)	92,000
SUED 1/8/2020 BEFORE SALE Exemption EUILIDING PERMIT RECORD State Date Total Appraised Parcel Value 161,610 Total And Value 161,610 Total	19GL- PER SALES LISTING UPGRADE KIT,	Total Appraised Parcel Value	161,610
Adjustment	ROOF, BOILER, MASONRY, INSULATION & ELEC	Valuation Method	O
Total Appraised Parcel Value Total Card Land Units Total Land Value Total Land Value Total Land Value Total Land Value Total Card Land Units Total Land Value To		Exemption Adjustment	0
10-10-2000 BP Building Permit R-50 Pate % Comp Date Comp Comments Date Type IS ID Cd Purpost/Res			
10-10-2000 BP Building Permit 2,400 06-23-2016 100 100 OF; 100 O	Issue Date Type Description Amount Issue Date W. Come Date Come	VISIT / CHANGE HISTORY	
Use co Description Zone D Fronta Depth Unit Price I. Fact S.A. Ac Di C. Fact St. Idx Adj Notes Special Pricing S Adj Adj Unit Pric Land 1010 Single Family R-40 Ac Di 0.100 Ac Di C. Fact St. Idx Adj Notes Special Pricing S Adj Adj Unit Pric Land 1010 Single Family R-40 Ac Di 6.3000 8.253 5 1.00 <t< td=""><td>10-10-2000 BP Building Permit 2,400 06-23-2016 100 ROO</td><td>Date 1ype 1S 1D Cd 06-23-2016 ER 00</td><td>oost/Result</td></t<>	10-10-2000 BP Building Permit 2,400 06-23-2016 100 ROO	Date 1ype 1S 1D Cd 06-23-2016 ER 00	oost/Result
Use co Description Zone D Fronta Unit Price I. Fact S.A. Ac Di C. Fact St. Idx Adj Notes Special Pricing Spec Use Spec Calc Social Pricing Spec Calc S Adj Adj Unit Price Land 1010 Single Family R-40 R-40 AC 63,000 8.253 5 1.00			
1010 Single Family R-40 0.100 AC 63,000 8.253 5 1.00 100 1.00 0 1.000	Use co Description Zone D Fronta Depth Units Unit Price I. Fact S.A. Ac Di C. Fact St. Idx	Notes Special Pricing S Adj	
0.100 AC Parcel Total Land Area: 0.1000 Total Land Value	1010 Single Family R-40 0.100 AC 63,000 8.253 5 1.00 100		52,000
	0.100 AC Parcel Tot	Total Land Value	

State Use 1010 Print Date 4/17/2020 9:04:13 AM		FED	FOP 3 6 7 PAT 7										
State (BSM 6		TQS BAS BSM		23								
Bldg Name Sec # 1 of 1			BAS 16	,	-13	A A					:		
21/ 019/ 0000/ / Bldg # 1 V. DETAIL (CONTINUED)			95.00 151,061 1880 VG 03 2019 33		67 101,210	÷	FEATURES(B)	00.00	a Unit Cost Undeprec Value	000	2000	•	
Map ID 21/019 V0176500 CONSTRUCTION DETAI	Element	Code Description 1010 Single Family COST / MARKET V	Base Rate Replace Cost Net Other Adj Year Built Effective Year Built Depreciation Code Remodel Rating Year Remodeled Depreciation %	Functional Obsol Economic Obsol Cost Trend Factor Condition % Complete	Deprec Value Dep % Ovr Dep Ovr Comment Misc Imp Ovr	Misc Imp Ovr Comment Cost to Cure Ovr Cost to Cure Ovr Comment	S(L) / XF - BUILDING EXTRA	28.00 1935 75 0.00	MMARY Gros	832 640 192	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1,281 2,358
tion 5 SYLVANDALE RD 863 Account # \ CONSTRUCTION DETAIL	d Description Conventional Residential C+	Clapboard Gable Asphalt Plastered	Drywall Hardwood Carpet Oil Hot Water None 3 Bedrooms	Average Remodeled		Stone Same	OB - OUTBUILDING & YARD ITEMS(L) / XF - BUILDING EXTRA FEATU ption Su Sub Type Lan Units Unit Price Year Pct Depre Conditio	L 400	BUILDING SUB-A Description	First Floor Basement Crawispace	closed Porch		Ttl Gross Liv / Lease Area
Ø – 8	Style 06 Model 01 Grade 09 No. of Stories 1.75	III 1 ure	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Xtra Fixtrs. 0 Total Rooms 6 Bath Style 03 Kitchen Style 04 Wood Fireplace 0		Esmnt Garage 0 Foundation 07 Int vs Ext 02	Descr	Garage	rea	BSM Basement CRL Crawlspace			-

TOWN PLANNING STAFF SUMMARY SHEET FROM: Michael J. Murphy, AICP, 860-885-8697/mmurphy@seccog.org

APPLICATION / PROJECT NAME
Roark Rear Yard Setback Variance

APPLICANT / PROPERTY OWNER Robert and Sherry Roark

PUBLIC HEARING / DECISION TIMEFRAMES

PROPERTY ADDRESS / LOCATION INOFORMATION

Expected May 20th

BRIEF SUMMARY OF REQUEST

The applicant is apparently asking for relief from the 20' setback requirement in the R-40 zone to construct a deck. The ZEO told this planner he anticipates the deck to be roughly 12' x 16' in size and would extend from the existing house. Project size and scope still needs to be confirmed by the applicant.

5 Sylvandale Road, Lisbon

Roark Variance Request
Application materials have already been provided to the commission by staff.

WAIVER REQUESTS: N/A

MAP SOURCEE: Application Materials

EXISTING ZONING	EXISTING LAND USE	SURROUNDING ZONING & LAND USE	SITE IMPROVEMENTS	SIZE OF PROPERTY
R-40	Residential	North:res/R-40 South:res /R-40 East:res/r-40 West:river/res/R-80	Proposed accessory deck	.10 acre(4356 sf +/-)

PLANNING STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

COMPATIBILITY / COMPLIANCE with the POCD-2016 and other appropriate plans, policies or studies:

PROJECT HISTORY AND BACKGROUND:

This area is slated to remain as moderate density residential. Several of the lots in this area nonconforming but conform to the permitted uses in the R-40 zone.

This neighborhood area is nestled between Route 12 and the riverine flows to the east and west. The site is substantially developed for a small residential site of .10 acre. The 100 year and 500-year flood plains associated with the Quinebaug River encroach into roughly ½ of the rear portion of the property according to resource mapping.

This planner is providing this staff report relative to the ZBA referral of the application to the entire Planning and Zoning Commission.

LAND USE/ DEVELOPMENT COMPATIBILITY with APPLICABLE REGULATIONS AND/OR DESIGN STANDARDS:

While the applicant has focused his request on obtaining relief from the 20' setback requirement in the R-40 zone, which would apply to the rear and side yard setbacks, several other issues appear worthy of review with respect to Town requirements. This planner is providing these comments in the interest of informing the process before the application is heard by the ZBA so all requirements can be assessed that might apply to the project. I offer the following items for consideration:

Setback Variances: The lack of a specific plan and survey does not allow confirmation of the existing side or rear yard setbacks. Knowing these setbacks will permit the applicant to request specific relief from the 20' requirement in section 8. If the proposed deck is already within the 20' side yard setback restriction, the request would also need to be weighed against sections 9.5 a and b which relate to already non-conforming structures. A detailed plan would also show the location of the existing septic system and other utilities to confirm any other impacts from development of the deck.

Building Coverage: The existing building coverage appears to be at about 20.7 % on site, which would exceed existing zoning, although this is subject to more careful review by the applicant and the zoning official. This planner reviewed the property card dimensions against the presumed land area in the application. If the deck is not to be covered and will not constitute building area subject to coverage requirements, then building coverage might not be affected. It will add to the development of the site though. A detailed plan of the height, size and construction of the deck should be provided with the application and coverage percentage confirmed.

Impervious Coverage: Impervious coverage is limited to 25% in this zone. A review of the existing conditions on site with respect to the dimensions of the house, garage, additions, parking areas and the anticipated deck addition indicates that the 25% limit may well be exceeded with this project. I believe it will be close based on what has been provided. The total impervious area planned should be verified with the ZEO and the application reconsidered and/or revised. This will confirm whether or not a variance is needed from section 8.7.2, or if the increase of impervious area can be mitigated to conform. Either way, the situation will be clear and the process transparent.

Flood Plain: The property is in a 100-year special flood hazard area (AE) with additional designation in the 500 year flood plain. Once the plan is developed to show all development activity, the flood zone base flood elevation (BFE) should be reviewed and confirmed to certify that work is outside the flood zone and/or complies with all requirements of flood (zoning) regulations in section 10.15. Given the small lot size and the lack of detailed survey and engineering information about flood elevations provided, it could be difficult for the ZBA and ZEO to have necessary information to allow adequate review.

Inland Wetland Issues: The activity, although not specifically shown with respect to encroachment on wetlands or the watercourse, would appear to require a permit (or at least some preliminary review and/or finding) from the Conservation Commission or its staff. This should not affect the timing of the ZBA action on any variance. It would, however, be necessary before issuance of any subsequent permit by the Building and Zoning Official.

In summary, it is difficult for this planner to confirm the extent of land use impacts of the proposal at this time given the limited information provided.

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS, INCLUDING ANY MODIFICATIONS, CONDITIONS, REASONS FOR APPROVAL OR DENIAL, ETC.:

This planner will provide this report to the ZEO and the applicant in the interest of the limited time available before the legal ad is to be advertised (first publication 5/12). I recommend that the PZC Chairman authorize the forwarding of this report to the ZBA along with any consensus or comments from the Planning and Zoning Commission. This planner will follow the application in the event the applicant addresses the items raised in this report and revises the application.

ATTACHMENTS (CIRCLE):

SUBMITTED PLANS

APPLICATION FORM(S)

LEGAL NOTICE

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

PUBLIC COMMENT(S)

AGENCY COMMENTS

APPLICANT RESPONSE

STUDY EXCERPTS

OTHER (DESCRIBE):